

[TSEC]

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

11031

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2003/12/04 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000100010105-2

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

TO:

DATE

28 July 1971

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

#20

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

30 November 1971

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Fabrication of High Precision Stereo Comparator

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☒ YES☐ NOTHE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 96%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - 89%

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side)HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE☒ YES☐ NO☐ COST☐ AWARD
FEE☐ PERFORMANCE☐ DELIVERY

FPI

NOTE:

USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.

FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING4. ☒ ABOVE AVERAGE7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY2. ☐ EXCELLENT5. ☐ AVERAGE3. ☐ VERY GOOD6. ☐ MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE☐ CLOSE OUT☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

Declass Review by
NIMA/DOD

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES	X		MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS	X		FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

28 July 1971

Approved For Release 2003/12/04 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000100010105-2

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☒ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/12/04 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000100010105-2

1. Major delays have been encountered during the installation of the HPSC. These problems have not been with the assembly of the HPSC, instead the problem has been with the Clean Room site which has been prepared to house the HPSC.

a. Several weeks were lost in the period of 29 April to 14 May when an outside contractor experienced difficulties in mounting the four isolator brackets to the main concrete block.

b. About a week was lost during the second week of June waiting for the walls, duct work, and ceiling to be replaced after the HPSC had been mounted in position.

c. Most of the time from 6 to 16 July while the Logistic's contractors attempted to stabilize the humidity and temperature of the Clean Room.

d. As of 28 July the humidity and temperature for the cabinets and Clean Room had not been brought within specifications. Some work has been accomplished on the HPSC during this period, but final alignment and checkout cannot be accomplished until the environment of the room is controlled.

e. [] has had four to six men in a stand-by condition during the above mentioned periods.

2. A meeting was held at [] on 8 July to discuss the problems and delays encountered during the installation of the HPSC. In attendance were representatives from the various components in NPIC, [] the Support Staff, and a Contracts Officer. [] stated that they had incurred significant costs due to the delays. The Support Staff authorized [] to make certain expenditures to correct the malfunctions in the temperature and humidity controls for the Clean Room site. It was predicted that the required modifications could be accomplished by 13 July.

3. On 19 July the technical monitor received a copy of a letter from [] requesting compensation for costs incurred by delays during the period of 29 April to 9 July. The amount requested was []. On 26 July another letter was received requesting a change-in-scope for costs incurred by delays during the period of 29 April to 17 July. The amount requested was [].

4. If the environment of the Clean Room is controlled during the week of 26 July, it is anticipated that acceptance tests could begin during the week of 9 August.

5. Total Authorized Funds	Original Contract
	FY-69 C-in-S
	FY-70 C-in-S
	FY-70 C-in-S
	FY-71 C-in-S